Remarks:

5

10

20

This application has been reviewed carefully in light of the Office Action mailed August 21, 2007. In the Office Action, the declaration was found defective. The drawings were objected to as not including a reference number mentioned in the description. The specification was objected to due to two informalities. The abstract was required to be presented on a separate sheet. Claims 4-15 were objected to as having multiple dependent claims depending from one another. Claims 1-2, 3/1 and 3/2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1-2, 3/1 and 3/2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by Japanese Patent 5-106-598. Claims 1 and 3/1 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by United Kingdom Patent 636,290. Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by Yoshinaga, U.S. patent no. 4,395,197.

The above-described objections and rejections are addressed as follows.

I. Requirement for New Declaration

A proper Declaration has been separately filed on August 23, 2007. The applicant respectfully requests the new declaration be found acceptable.

II. Objection to the Drawings

The specification has been amended to overcome the objection to the drawings. The applicant respectfully requests the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

1/21/2008

III. Requirement for New Abstract of the Disclosure

The abstract of the disclosure has now been presented on a separate page, and rewritten to be in better form. The applicant respectfully requests the new abstract of the disclosure be found acceptable.

5 IV. Objection to the Disclosure

The disclosure has now been amended to eliminate the identified informalities. The applicant respectfully requests the objections to the disclosure be withdrawn.

V. Claim Objections

The claims have now been amended to eliminate the identified informalities. The applicant respectfully requests the objections to the claims be withdrawn, and that the claims now be examined.

VI. § 112 Rejection

15

Claims 1, 2, 3/1 and 3/2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, as allegedly being indefinite. The claims have now been amended to correct the identified issues. The applicant respectfully requests the rejections of claims 1, 2, 3/1 and 3/2, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, be withdrawn.

VII. § 102(b) Rejections

Claims 1, 2, 3/1 and 3/2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as
allegedly being anticipated by various references. Claim 1 has been
amended to recite that in cross-section the shroud forms a surface along
the flow path, the surface being characterized by a profile that includes a
relative discontinuity in the region of the trailing edge. The claim further

5

10

recites that the discontinuity forms a downstream-facing blocking face adapted to impede an upstream flow of gas between the shroud and the wheel, the blocking face extending across the flow path to form a sharp edge connecting the blocking face to a smoothly curving surface upstream of the discontinuity.

This feature provides for significant resistance to upstream flow, and thus increases the range over which the compressor can operate. None of the cited references provide downstream-facing surfaces at the trailing edge, where the surfaces have sharply discontinuous edges connecting the discontinuities to surfaces upstream of the discontinuities.

Because the cited references fail to disclose the features of claim 1, as amended, the applicant respectfully requests the § 102(b) rejections of claims 1, 2, 3/1 and 3/2 be withdrawn.

Appl. No. 10/552,376

Amendment, dated January 21, 2008

Reply to: Office Action Dated August 21, 2007

VIII. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

5

Respectfully submitted,

Hua CHEN

10

15

By:

John A. Griecci

Registration No. 39,694

For: The Law Office of John A. Griecci

703 Pier Avenue, Suite B #657 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

(310) 376-6527

20 <u>Application Correspondence Address</u>:

Attn: Chris James, Esq. Honeywell Turbo Technologies 23326 Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite #200

Torrance, CA 90505

25 (310) 791-7850